## SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS LLP

ALBERT L. SCHMEISER \*
ARLEN L. OLSEN, MS \*
JACK P. FRIEDMAN, Ph.D. \*
KENNETH C. BOOTH
JOSEPH J. CHRISTIAN \*
PACER K. UDALL
SANDER M. RABIN, MD \*
JOHN BURTON
KHOI D. NGUYEN \*
KRISTEN L. ASHDOWN \*
ANTHONY M. PALAGONIA †
JERRY F. DUDDING, Ph.D. †
DAVID E. ALLRED †

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS & COPYRIGHTS

18 E. UNIVERSITY DR., STE. 101

MESA, ARIZONA 85201-5946

(480) 655-0073

FACSIMILE (480) 655-9536

www.iplawusa.com
E-MAIL - AZ@iplawusa.com

OTHER OFFICE

3 LEAR JET LANE, SUITE 201 LATHAM, NY 12110 (518) 220-1850 FACSIMILE (518) 220-1857 E-MAIL - NY@iplawusa.com

TECHNICAL STAFF

MARK J. FRIEDMAN TALLIE J. ANDERSON

PARALEGALS

LISA A. MOLLOY JOYCE PATRICK-BAI, MS TAMBRA L. WHITE KIMBERLY A. DWILESKI

## OF COUNSEL

CHARLES T. WATTS ††

\* OTHER THAN ARIZONA BAR † PATENT AGENT †† RETIRED

## **FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

TO:

**Examiner Christina Marks** 

**COMPANY:** 

**PTO** 

**DESTINATION FACSIMILE NUMBER:** 

703-746-8770

FROM:

Kenneth C. Booth, Esq.

DATE:

October 14, 2003

**NUMBER OF PAGES: 1** 

(DOES NOT INCLUDE COVER)

MESSAGE: Proposed Discussion Topics for 09/941,347.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE TRANSMITTED PAGES, PLEASE CALL (480) 655-0073. THANK YOU.

CLIENT/MATTER: GHEL-0312

The information contained in or attached to this facsimile message is attorney's PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL information intended only for the person or entity named above. If you are <u>not</u> the intended recipient (or someone responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient), please be aware that any dissemination or copying of this communication is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you receive this communication in error, please notify us by telephone immediately at (480) 655-0073.

Applicant:

Ghela

Docket No.:

GHEL-0312

Serial No.:

09/941,347

Group Art Unit:

3713

Filed:

08/28/2001

Examiner:

Marks, Christina

TITLE:

LOTTERY INSURANCE

Fax:

(703) 746-8770

**METHOD** 

## PROPOSED DISCUSSION TOPICS FOR EXAMINER TELEPHONE CONFERENCE ON OCT. 22, 2003, 4pm Eastern Time

Attendees:

Examiner C. Marks

Acting SPE Michael O'Neill

Kenneth C. Booth, attorney of record

Manu Ghela, inventor

Topics:

Relating to most recent Office Action and pending claims.

- 1. Particularities of existing Congello and Pennsylvania lottery systems and distinctions from current claims.
  - a. Congello col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 13, paying more or less does not affect actual jackpot, just purchaser's share of jackpot. Can't purchase insurance to cover taxes or immediate payout. If player purchases \$1.65 ticket, doesn't get 165% of jackpot if player is the only winner. Still only gets the jackpot amount.
  - b. Pennsylvania - The two Pennsylvania lotteries are separate lottery games with separate prizes based upon separate calculations, a separate number of distinct tickets is issued for each of those two lottery games, and the price for the tickets is the same in each game. This is done by reducing the odds of winning in the tax-covered game to increase the jackpot. The calculations, however, are necessarily kept separate from the non-tax-covered game. The allusions in the recent Office Actions that it would be obvious to combine these two games into a single lottery game (as claimed) with tickets at different prices to have insured tickets and uninsured tickets is quite a logical jump. It may be that we are not expressing the desired claim intent clearly enough in our responses, or that the specifics of the Pennsylvania systems are not coming out clearly in our writings, or that the Office is interpreting the claim language broader than we intend. Perhaps there is different claim language that would meet the needs of both the Office and the Inventor. The inventor is well versed in lottery systems and has made it clear that no one has made the type of logical jump the Examiner is proposing. We are unable to find in the references the motivation or the teachings alluded to in the Office Actions.